Vegetative compatibility relationships among weakly pathogenic isolates (pathotype E) of *Verticillium dahliae*

Yoshiyuki Ebihara^{1),*}, Hideyuki Nagao¹⁾, Masanori Koike²⁾, Toshimasa Shiraishi³⁾ and Tsutomu lijima⁴⁾

¹⁾ Faculty of Horticulture, Chiba University, Matsudo 271–8510, Japan

²⁾ Verticillium Research Group, Department of Agro-environmental Science, Obihiro University of Agriculture and Veterinary Medicine, Obihiro 080–8555, Japan

³⁾ Gunma Horticultural Experiment Station, Gunma 379–2224, Japan

⁴⁾ Tokyo Metropolitan Agricultural Experiment Station, Tokyo 190–0013, Japan

Accepted for publication 25 December 1998

Twenty-two isolates of *Verticillium dahliae*, which were isolated from green soybean (*Glycine max*), udo (*Aralia cordata*), horseradish (*Cochlearia armoracia*), sweetpea (*Lathyrus odoratus*), or a weed (*Chenopodium album*) were used in this study. Conidia and microsclerotia of these isolates were morphologically identical with those of *V. dahliae* but did not coincide with *V. longisporum*. Pathogenicity tests showed that these isolates were of weak pathotype. Eleven of the 22 isolates, which were obtained from green soybean and udo, were pathogenic to green soybeans. Thus pathotype E was composed of two groups: 'soybean pathotype' which was pathogenic to green soybeans; and isolates nonpathogenic to green soybeans. The latter were defined as isolates of pathotype E in the narrow sense. Selected representative *nit1* and NitM mutants of each *V. dahliae* isolate were paired with VCGJ testers. Fourteen isolates of *V. dahliae* (So1, So22, So23, So27, So28, So39, So40, So41, U54, U68, U69, U90, U95, and U115) showed complementary reactions with subgroups J1 and J3 and were assigned to subgroup J3. Isolate U108 was assigned to subgroup J2. Isolate HR1 was not compatible with any testers of VCGJ. With this exception, isolates of pathotype E in the narrow sense and those of 'soybean pathotype' were thus assigned to known VCGJ subgroups and did not form a unique group corresponding to their pathotype. 'Soybean pathotype' could not be distinguished among isolates of pathotype E by vegetative compatibility.

Key Words——nit; pathotype; soybean; VCG; Verticillium dahliae.

Verticillium dahliae Kleb. causes vascular wilt in different kinds of crops, trees, and even weeds (Hagiwara et al., 1986, 1987). This fungus seems not to differentiate strictly among host plants, in contrast to the well-known vascular wilt fungus Fusarium oxysporum Schlecht. (Glass and Kuldau, 1992). However, lijima (1983) proposed the two exclusive pathotypes of V. dahliae based on the pathogenicity to susceptible tomatoes. These two pathotypes were further separated into five (A, B, C, D, and E) using a set of differential host plants (eggplant, pepper, tomato, and turnip) (Hagiwara, 1990; Horiuchi et al., 1990a). Although isolates of pathotype E showed less pathogenicity (faint yellowing and/or little discoloration in the vascular bundle) on the differential host plants, some of these isolates seriously affected green soybean (Glycine max Merr.), udo (Aralia cordata Thunb.), Nihon-touki (Angelica acutiloba (Sieb. et Zucc.) Kitagawa) (Wakatabe et al., 1990), horseradish (Cochlearia armoracia L.), and the weed (Chenopodium album L.) (Hagiwara et al., 1987). Among these host plants,

green soybeans are economically important, and thus pathogenic isolates from soybeans are of special interest and have been grouped as 'soybean pathotype' (Suwa et al., 1987). Hagiwara (1990) concluded that isolates of 'soybean pathotype' could be classified as either pathotype A or E, as determined by inoculation on a set of differential host plants. However, some isolates of pathotype D, V. longisporum (C. Stark) Karapapa, Bainbr. & Heale (Karapapa et al., 1997), were also reported to cause severe wilting of green soybeans (Horiuchi et al., 1990b). In addition, with new differential host plants (parsley or Chinese lantern) for the pathogenicity test, a new categorization would be expected to result (lijima, 1983; Takeda et al., 1988). The differential host method gives some information about the pathotype but is not a definitive classification method.

Pathotype recognition of *V. dahliae* was studied by vegetative compatibility using microsclerotial color mutants, and this method was successful in distinguishing defoliating strains of *V. dahliae* from VCGs of non-defoliating strains in cotton (Puhalla, 1979). These color mutants were insufficient for categorizing VCGs of Japanese isolates in our preliminary study (Nagao et al., 1994). Analysis of nitrate-nonutilizing (*nit*) mutants led

^{*}Present address: Chiba Horticultural Experiment Station, 1762, Yamamoto, Tateyama 294–0014, Japan. E-mail: yebihara@mail.goo.ne.jp

Table 1. Origin of isolates of *Verticillium dahliae* and *V. longisporum* and their morphology of conidia and microsclerotia (MS).

looloto	Source	Drev (der ^a)	Locality	Length of cor	nidia (µm) Size of	Ratio of length
Isolate	Source	Providera	Locality	Range	Mean	microsclerotia (µm)	to width of MS
LE103	Tomato	1	Tokyo	2.5-11	4.9	34-118×30-92	1.3
So1	Green soybean	4	Gunma	2–10	4.6	40–108×31–82	1.3
So3	Green soybean	4	Gunma	3–10	5.4	32-100×23-86	1.4
So22	Green soybean	4	Gunma	2-12.5	4.9	25-88×25-84	1.3
So23	Green soybean	4	Gunma	3-12.5	5.2	50-114×40-87	1.3
So27	Green soybean	4	Gunma	2.5–10	4.9	26-91×20-54	1.3
So28	Green soybean	4	Gunma	2.5-8	4.5	38-132×30-78	1.5
So38	Green soybean	4	Gunma	2.5-11.5	4.6	35-118×27-63	1.4
So39	Green soybean	4	Gunma	2–8	4.5	44-125×35-73	1.4
So40	Green soybean	4	Gunma	2–10	4.7	20-94×19-50	1.5
So41	Green soybean	4	Gunma	2–8	4.4	23-123×20-50	1.5
U54	Udo (<i>Aralia cordata</i>)	4	Gunma	2–8	4.4	30–100×22–59	1.6
U68	Udo (<i>Aralia cordata</i>)	4	Gunma	2-9.5	4.9	35-145×25-84	1.4
U69	Udo (<i>Aralia cordata</i>)	4	Gunma	2-11	4.7	44-128×24-85	1.5
U90	Udo (<i>Aralia cordata</i>)	4	Gunma	2–9	4.7	34–180×22–70	1.7
U93	Udo (<i>Aralia cordata</i>)	4	Gunma	2–9	4.7	35-85×30-58	1.3
U95	Udo (<i>Aralia cordata</i>)	4	Gunma	2-8	4.4	35-70×21-50	1.4
U108	Udo (<i>Aralia cordata</i>)	4	Gunma	3.5-12	5.8	35–102×30–70	1.4
U115	Udo (<i>Aralia cordata</i>)	4	Gunma	2–9	4.6	38-107×32-74	1.4
HR1	Horseradish	2	Hokkaido	2.5-10.5	4.8	45-100×35-74	1.4
HR2	Horseradish	2	Hokkaido	2.5-10	4.9	30-77×23-65	1.3
88213	Sweetpea	5	Okayama	2-6.5	4.2	35-85×22-58	1.3
86101	Chenopodium album	3	Gunma	2–10	4.6	42-100×36-63	1.4
84010 ^{b)}	Chinese cabbage	3	Nagano	4–12.5	7.2	65-330×25-165	1.8
84111 ^{b)}	Senecio vulgaris	3	Nagano	4–12	7.3	50-300×45-200	2.3

a) 1=T. lijima, 2=M. Koike, 3=H. Hagiwara, 4=T. Shiraishi, 5=S. Kasuyama.

b) Verticillium longisporum.

to a reassessment of the VCGs of V. dahliae isolates which were previously defined by Puhalla and Hummel (1983), and the number of distinct VCGs was reduced from 16 to 4 (Joaquim and Rowe, 1990). A correlation was demonstrated between genetic relatedness, based on VCG, host range, and virulence (Daayf et al., 1995; Joaquim and Rowe, 1991). Japanese isolates of V. dahliae formed a VCG with three subgroups (J1, J2, and J3) (Wakatabe et al., 1997). These subgroups were provisionally distinguished according to the strength of heterokaryon. Subgroup J2 comprised isolates which were pathogenic to eggplant and tomato, and included race 2 of tomato wilt (Nagao et al., 1997; Wakatabe et al., 1997). There was a close relationship between pathogenicity to tomato and subgroup J2. Isolates which were not pathogenic to tomato (eggplant pathotype (A) and pepper pathotype (C)) were categorized either as subgroup J1 or subgroup J3, respectively. An isolate of pathotype E, So-2, was assigned to subgroup J1 (Wakatabe et al., 1997). Data are not sufficient to clarify a correlation between genetic relatedness, based on VCG, host range, and virulence in pathotype E.

In this study, we examined vegetative compatibility

using *nit* mutants among Japanese isolates of pathotype E of *V. dahliae* and testers of the Japanese vegetative compatibility group to evaluate the significance of pathotype E as an independent group.

Materials and Methods

Isolates The origins of 25 isolates of *V. dahliae* and *V. longisporum* examined in this study are listed in Table 1. Isolates were cultured on potato-sucrose agar at 25°C in darkness.

Morphology As some isolates of pathotype D, V. *longisporum* (Karapapa et al., 1997), were reported to be pathogenic to green soybean (Horiuchi et al., 1990b), the morphology of conidia and microsclerotia of 22 isolates of V. *dahliae* was compared with that of V. *dahliae* (isolate LE103) and V. *longisporum* (isolates 84010 and 84111) (Table 1). Lengths of 200 randomly selected conidia were measured after 10–14 d of incubation. Lengths and widths of 50 microsclerotia of all isolates were measured after 10–14 d of incubation.

Pathogenicity test The inoculum was prepared as previously described (Nagao et al., 1997). Pathogenicity

tests were conducted using a set of differential host plants (eggplant cv. Senryo-Nigo, pepper cv. Ace, tomato cv. Ponderosa, and Chinese cabbage cv. Taibyo-Rokudyu-Nichi) to determine the pathogenicity groups (Horiuchi et al., 1990a). In our study, Chinese cabbage was used as host plant in place of turnip (Horiuchi et al., 1990a) and green sovbean cv. Yukimusume was added to recognize 'soybean pathotype' in the pathogenicity test. Six seedlings of each host were inoculated with each isolate in October, 1997. Both external (foliar damage) and internal symptoms, the degree of vascular discoloration of root or hypocotyl, were evaluated as previously described (Nagao et al., 1997). To re-isolate inoculated pathogens, 10-mm sections of hypocotyl of all standings were sampled, stripped of the epidermis, and sterilized with 5% (v/v) sodium hypochloride solution for 5 min. After rinsing in sterilized distilled water, disinfected hypocotyls were placed on acidified water agar (1.5% (w/v)) and cultured at 25°C for 7-21 d in darkness in an attempt to isolate the pathogen.

Analysis of variance (ANOVA) among the isolates was performed with a significance level of P=0.05. Recovery and characterization of *nit* mutants Nit mutants were recovered by a modification of the method of Puhalla (1985). Incubation of plates for 21-28 d at 23°C generated more nit sectors than incubation at 25°C (Wakatabe et al., 1997). Vegetative compatibility was evaluated as previously described (Wakatabe et al., 1997). Phenotype was determined from the growth on media modified with one of the following nitrogen sources in place of sodium nitrate: sodium nitrite (0.4 g/L), hypoxanthine (0.5 g/L), ammonium-tartrate (0.8 g/L), or uric acid (0.1 g/L of uric acid instead of 0.2 g/L) (Correll et al., 1987; Cove, 1976). This test for phenotype was repeated twice.

Complementation tests Pairings were conducted with *nit1* and NitM, and these tests were repeated twice with two pairs. Complementation was evaluated as previously described (Wakatabe et al., 1997). The plates were kept at 25°C for 20 d.

Results

Morphology Conidial length of isolates of pathotype E ranged from 2 to $12.5 \,\mu$ m (Table 1). The mean lengths of the isolates were $4.2-5.8 \,\mu$ m, markedly different from those of *V. longisporum* 84010 and 84111, (7.2-7.3 μ m). The size range of microsclerotia was also wide, $20-180 \times 19-86 \,\mu$ m for pathotype E and $50-330 \times 25-200 \,\mu$ m for *V. longisporum*.

Pathogenicity test Pathogenicity of *V. dahliae* was estimated on the basis of two criteria: external and internal symptoms (Table 2). Most of the isolates examined showed a low pathogenicity rating, except for the internal index of eggplant. However, no differential host plant showed wilting or death, except when infected with HR1. Most isolates had no marked effect on either foliar or vascular conditions in the set of differential host plants. However, they showed significant differences in their virulence on tomato and eggplant (P=0.05). Iso-

lates U68, U69, HR1, HR2, and 88213 showed faint yellowing and vascular discoloration on eggplant, but reisoltaion of the inocula was unseccessful. None of the isolates caused foliar symptoms on Chinese cabbage and pepper. Isolate 88213 was obtained from sweetpea, *Lathyrus odoratus* L., and was reported to be belonged to pathotype A (Kasuyama and Inoue, 1989). However, its pathotype was demonstrated as pathotype E by our inoculation test.

Symptoms on green soybean were found to be significantly different among isolates (P=0.05). Ten isolates from green soybean and an isolate U115 from *A. cordata* mildly infected green soybean.

Recovery of *nit* **mutants** Ratios of *nit* mutants in isolated sectors were not affected by the frequency of chlorate-resistant sectors. Four phenotypically characterized *nit* mutants were obtained, NitM, *nit1*, *nit2*, and *nit3* (Table 3). Both complementary *nit1* and NitM mutants were obtained for all isolates except So3 and HR2. *Nit2* appeared in eight isolates, So22, So23, So28, So38, So39, So40, So41, and U68. *Nit3* appeared in U90 and HR2. All *nit* mutants recovered were *nit1* in So3.

Vegetative compatibility group In selecting the complementary tester strains, four isolates, So38, U93, 88213, and 86101, were self-incompatible. Isolates So3 and HR2 did not generate complementary nit1 and NitM (Table 3). Therefore, both nit1 and NitM tester strains were examined in 16 of the 22 isolates of V. dahliae pathotype E. Compatibility among isolates of V. dahliae pathotype E was assessed (Table 4). Most isolates (14 isolates) were compatible with each other; only U108 and HR1, were not compatible. In the case of isolate So41, even though NitM mutants were recovered more frequently than nit1 mutants, a stable and reliable complementary tester could not be selected. Thus. strain 17 of So41 (NitM) showed poor complementation with all of testers examined, in contrast to strain 18 of So41 (nit1). A 'soybean pathotype' could not be distinguished from the results of compatibility testing among the isolates of pathotype E.

We investigated compatibility of these 16 isolates with testers of the Japanese VCG (Table 5). Isolates of pathotype E, except for isolate HR1, were compatible with testers of subgroup J1. However, just eight isolates (So1, So22, So27, So28, So39, U54, U69, and U115) showed strong reaction with both testers of subgroup J1. The remaining seven isolates (So23, So40, So41, U68, U90, U95, and U108) were compatible with one of the testers. Among these imperfectly compatible isolates, U108 showed strongly or slightly compatible reaction with testers of subgroup J2 (LE103) but no compatibility with testers of J3. All isolates which were compatible with subgroup J1, except for U108, showed different reactions with testers of subgroup J3. Isolates compatible with subgroup J1 were compatible with at least one of the testers of subgroup J3, except for U95 and U108. Isolate U95 was weakly compatible with Only three isolates, So1, So39, and subgroup J3. U115, showed compatible reaction with both testers of subgroup J3. Judging from the results of complementa-

Isolate Number factoral internal internan internal internantinterva internal internal in	Pepper	Chín	ese cabbage		So	/bean	
601 6 2.3 3.7 0 6 1.0 1.2 0 6 1.0 1.5 3.0 6833 6 2.3 4.0 1.6 1.0 1.7 0 6 1.7 3.0 8632 6 2.3 4.0 1.6 1.0 1.5 0 6 1.2 2.3 8623 6 2.3 3.8 0 6 1.0 1.5 0 6 1.2 2.2 8623 6 2.3 3.8 0 6 1.0 1.7 0 6 1.2 2.3 8638 6 2.3 3.8 0 6 1.7 3.0 6 1.2 2.1 2.1 8641 6 2.3 3.8 0 6 1.7 3.0 6 1.2 2.2 3.0 8641 6 2.3 3.3 0 6 1.3 2.1 1.0 1.2	ternal Internal % of dex ^{a)} index ^{a)} reisolation	Number Exter of plants index	nal Internal (^{a)} index ^{a)}	% of N reisolation of	umber External plants index ^{al}	Internal index ^{al}	% of eisolatio
63 6 23 40 0 6 10 1.7 0 6 1.7 30 5822 6 2.5 4.0 16.7 6 10 1.8 50.0 6 1.2 2.2 38 5823 6 2.3 3.8 0 6 1.0 1.5 0 6 1.2 2.2 5823 6 2.3 3.8 50.0 6 1.0 1.5 0 6 1.2 2.8 5838 6 2.3 3.8 0 6 1.3 2.3 8.0 6 1.2 2.2 3.7 5841 6 2.3 3.8 0 6 1.3 1.3 1.8 1.7 3.0 5841 6 2.3 3.8 0 6 1.3 1.3 2.6 5841 6 2.3 3.7 0 6 1.3 2.7 2.9 593	1.0 1.5 0	6 1.0	1.5	0	6 3.8	3.8	<u>10</u>
000000000000000000000000000000000000	1.7 3.0 0	6 1.0	1.5	0	6 3.0	3.7	83.3
6623 6 2.8 3.8 0 6 1.0 1.5 0 6 1.2 2.2 6627 6 2.3 3.8 50.0 6 1.0 1.5 0 6 1.2 2.8 6628 6 2.3 3.8 50.0 6 1.3 2.3 8.33 6 1.3 2.5 6838 6 2.3 3.8 0 6 1.0 1.7 0 6 1.2 2.2 6838 6 2.3 3.8 0 6 1.2 1.3 2.5 2.2 641 6 2.3 3.0 0 6 1.2 1.3 2.5 641 6 2.3 3.0 0 6 1.2 1.3 2.7 644 6 2.3 3.3 0 6 1.3 2.7 103 6 2.3 3.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 2.7	1.5 2.8 0	6 1.0	1.3	0	6 4.0	4.0	100
5627 6 2.3 3.8 0 6 1.0 1.5 0 6 1.2 2.8 5628 6 2.3 3.8 50.0 6 1.0 1.3 0 6 1.0 2.7 2.8 5638 6 2.3 3.8 50.0 6 1.0 1.7 0 6 1.2 2.2 5638 6 2.3 3.8 0 6 1.0 1.7 0 6 1.2 2.2 5639 6 2.3 3.0 0 6 1.2 1.3 2.5 5.2 5641 6 2.3 3.0 6 1.2 1.8 1.6 1.5 2.2 3.0 5641 6 2.3 3.3 0 6 1.3 2.7 3.0 5641 6 2.3 3.3 0 6 1.3 2.7 1.3 2.7 593 6 2.3	1.2 2.2 33.3	6 1.C	1.7	0	6 3.2	3.5	100
0.28 6 2.3 3.8 5.0 6 1.0 1.3 0.6 1.0 2.7 0.38 6 2.3 3.6 0 6 1.3 2.5 3.3 0.6 1.6 1.2 2.2 0.39 6 2.5 3.8 0 6 1.5 2.2 66.7 6 1.5 2.2 0.40 6 2.7 3.8 0 6 1.5 2.2 66.7 6 1.5 2.5 0.41 6 2.2 3.7 0 6 1.2 1.7 0.7	1.2 2.8 0	6 1.0	1.3	0	6 3.8	4.0	100
6 2.3 3.6 0 6 1.3 2.3 83.3 6 1.3 2.5 639 6 2.5 3.8 0 6 1.0 1.7 0 6 1.2 2.2 640 6 2.5 3.8 0 6 1.5 2.2 66.7 6 1.2 2.1 154 6 2.2 3.7 0 6 1.2 1.8 16.7 6 1.2 1.7 154 6 2.2 3.3 0 6 1.2 1.8 1.6 1.6 1.2 1.7 163 6 2.3 3.8 0 6 1.2 1.8 1.6 1.2 1.3 2.7 103 6 2.7 3.8 0 6 1.2 1.3 2.6 6.7 6 1.2 1.3 115 6 2.3 3.8 0 6 1.2 1.3 2.7 <	1.0 2.7 0	6 1.0	1.5	0	6 4.0	3.7	100
633 6 2.5 3.8 0 6 1.0 1.7 0 6 1.2 2.2 640 6 2.7 3.8 0 6 1.5 2.2 66.7 6 1.5 2.5 5041 6 2.2 3.2 0 6 1.5 2.1 1.5 1.5 2.5 6.7 5 1.5 2.5 5041 6 2.2 3.7 0 6 1.2 1.8 1.6 6 1.2 1.7 0 6 1.2 1.7 3.0 163 6 3.0 7 0 6 1.2 1.8 0 6 1.3 2.7 103 6 2.3 3.0 0 6 1.2 1.8 0 6 1.2 1.3 2.7 103 6 2.3 3.0 6 1.2 1.3 2.7 104 6 2.3 3.0 <th< td=""><td>1.3 2.5 0</td><td>6 1.2</td><td>1.5</td><td>0</td><td>6 4.0</td><td>3.7</td><td>83.3</td></th<>	1.3 2.5 0	6 1.2	1.5	0	6 4.0	3.7	83.3
6ad0 6 2.7 3.8 0 6 1.5 2.2 66.7 6 1.5 2.6 J54 6 2.2 3.2 0 6 1.5 2.3 50.0 6 1.5 2.5 J54 6 2.8 3.7 0 6 1.2 1.8 16.7 6 1.2 1.7 J68 6 3.0 3.0 0 6 1.2 1.8 1.6 6 1.2 1.8 J68 6 3.0 3.7 0 6 1.2 1.8 0 6 1.3 2.7 J15 6 2.3 3.8 0 6 1.2 1.3 2.7 J16 6 2.7 3.8 0 6 1.2 1.3 2.2 J116 6 2.3 3.7 0 6 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 J116 6 2.3 3.3	1.2 2.2 16.7	6 1.0	1.5	0	6 4.0	4.0	<u>1</u> 0
641 6 2.2 3.2 0 6 1.5 2.3 50.0 6 1.2 1.7 154 6 2.8 3.7 0 6 1.2 1.8 16.7 6 1.5 3.0 168 6 3.0 3.0 0 6 1.2 1.8 16.7 6 1.3 2.7 3.0 168 6 3.0 3.0 0 6 1.2 1.6 6 1.3 2.7 3.0 169 6 2.3 3.8 0 6 1.2 1.8 0 6 1.3 2.7 190 6 2.7 3.8 0 6 1.2 1.3 1.3 0 6 1.2 1.3 2.7 1018 6 2.7 2.3 0 6 1.3 1.3 0 6 1.2 1.3 2.2 1115 6 2.3 3.3 6 <	1.5 2.5 0	6 1.0	1.7	0	6 4.0	3.7	100
J54 6 2.8 3.7 0 6 1.2 1.8 16.7 6 1.5 3.0 J68 6 3.0 3.0 0 6 1.3 1.5 0 6 1.0 1.8 J69 6 3.0 3.0 0 6 1.3 1.5 16.7 6 1.3 2.7 J90 6 2.3 3.8 0 6 1.2 1.8 0 6 1.3 2.8 2.8 J90 6 2.7 3.8 0 6 1.2 1.8 0 6 1.2 1.3 2.2 66.7 6 1.2 1.3 2.8 J115 6 2.7 2.3 0 6 1.2 1.3 16.7 6 1.2 1.2 1.3 2.2 J115 6 2.7 2.3 0 6 1.2 1.3 16.7 6 1.2 1.2 1.2	1.2 1.7 0	6 1.0	1.8	0	6 4.0	4.0	100 1
J68 6 3.0 3.0 0 6 1.3 1.5 0 6 1.0 1.8 J69 6 3.0 3.7 0 6 1.2 1.5 16.7 6 1.3 2.7 J90 6 2.3 3.8 0 6 1.2 1.5 16.7 6 1.3 2.7 J90 6 2.7 3.8 0 6 1.2 1.8 0 6 1.2 1.3 2.8 J108 6 2.7 2.0 0 6 1.2 1.3 0 6 1.2 1.3 2.8 J115 6 2.7 2.3 0 6 1.2 1.3 1.67 6 1.2 1.3 2.2 J115 6 2.3 3.7 0 6 1.2 1.3 2.2 H1 6 3.3 1.6.7 6 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.3	1.5 3.0 0	6 1.0	1.3	0	6 1.7	2.5	62.5
J69 6 3.0 3.7 0 6 1.2 1.5 1.6.7 6 1.3 2.7 J90 6 2.3 3.8 0 6 1.3 2.2 50.0 6 1.3 2.8 J93 6 2.7 3.8 0 6 1.2 1.8 0 6 1.3 2.8 J93 6 2.7 3.8 0 6 1.2 1.8 0 6 1.2 1.3 2.8 J15 6 2.7 2.3 0 6 1.2 1.3 1.6 6 1.2 1.3 2.5 J115 6 2.7 2.3 0 6 1.2 1.3 2.2 1.3 2.2 J115 6 3.3 4.0 0 6 1.0 1.3 2.5 3.2 H1 6 3.3 6 1.3 3.3 6 1.2 2.5 3.3	1.0 1.8 0	6 1.0	1.0	0	6 1.7	1.0	0
J90 6 2.3 3.8 0 6 1.3 2.2 50.0 6 1.3 2.8 J93 6 2.7 3.8 0 6 1.2 1.8 0 6 1.0 2.5 J95 6 2.7 2.0 0 6 1.3 1.3 0 6 1.2 1.3 J168 6 2.7 2.3 0 6 1.2 1.7 16.7 6 1.2 1.2 J115 6 2.3 3.7 0 6 1.2 1.7 16.7 6 1.2 1.2 J115 6 3.0 4.0 0 6 1.0 1.3 16.7 6 1.0 2.8 JR1 6 3.0 4.0 0 6 1.0 1.7 16.7 6 1.0 2.5 B213 6 3.0 4.0 0 6 1.0 1.0 1.0 2.6 B201 6 2.3 3.0 6 1.0 1.0 1.0<	1.3 2.7 0	6 1.C	1.5	0	6 1.7	2.3	16.7
J93 6 2.7 3.8 0 6 1.2 1.8 0 6 1.0 25 J95 6 2.7 2.0 0 6 1.2 1.3 1.3 0 6 1.2 1.3 J108 6 2.7 2.0 0 6 1.2 1.7 16.7 6 1.2 2.5 R11 6 3.0 6 1.0 1.7 1.6 1.2 1.0 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.0 1.0 1.2 1.0 1.0 1.0 1.0	1.3 2.8 0	6 1.2	1.7	0	6 1.7	2.7	16.7
J95 6 2.7 2.0 0 6 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.3 1.2 2.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 2.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 2.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 2.2 1.2 1.0 0 0 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.2 1.0 0 0 1.2 1.0 <th< td=""><td>1.0 2.5 0</td><td>6 1.C</td><td>1.0</td><td>0</td><td>6 1.0</td><td>1.7</td><td>33.3</td></th<>	1.0 2.5 0	6 1.C	1.0	0	6 1.0	1.7	33.3
1108 6 2.7 2.3 0 6 1.2 1.7 16.7 6 1.2 1.2 1.2 1115 6 2.3 3.7 0 6 1.5 2.5 66.7 6 1.3 2.2 IR1 6 3.5 4.0 0 6 1.0 1.3 16.7 6 1.0 2.8 IR2 6 3.0 4.0 0 6 1.0 1.3 16.7 6 1.0 2.8 IR2 6 3.0 4.0 0 6 1.0 1.9 33.3 6 1.2 2.5 8213 6 3.0 4.0 0 6 1.0 1.7 16.7 6 1.0 2.9 2.5 8213 6 2.8 2.3 0 6 1.0 1.0 0 0 1.1 1.2 2.5 8213 6 2.3 0 6 1.0 1.0 0 0 6 1.0 1.0 0 0 0 1.0	1.2 1.3 0	6 1.0	1.0	0	6 1.0	1.0	16.7
115 6 2.3 3.7 0 6 1.5 2.5 66.7 6 1.3 2.2 IR1 6 3.5 4.0 0 6 1.0 1.3 16.7 6 1.0 2.8 IR2 6 3.0 4.0 0 6 1.0 1.3 16.7 6 1.0 2.8 B213 6 3.0 4.0 0 6 1.0 1.7 16.7 6 1.2 2.5 B213 6 3.0 4.0 0 6 1.0 1.7 16.7 6 1.2 2.5 B6101 6 2.8 2.3 0 6 1.0 1.0 0 6 1.2 2.5 B6101 6 1.2 1.0 0 6 1.2 1.0 0 6 1.2 1.0 1.0 alue ^b 1 2.943* 11.71* 1.787* 2.925* 1.385 10.52* External symptom was evaluated by the following scale; 1=no foliar symptom; 2=yellowing of leaf; 3=all leaves and apical bud.	1.2 1.2 0	6 1.C	1.0	0	6 1.0	1.0	0
IR1 6 3.5 4.0 0 6 1.0 1.3 16.7 6 1.0 2.8 IR2 6 3.0 4.0 0 6 1.0 1.9 33.3 6 1.2 2.5 8213 6 3.0 4.0 0 6 1.0 1.9 33.3 6 1.2 2.5 8213 6 2.8 3.0 4.0 0 6 1.0 1.7 7.6 1.3 2.5 86101 6 2.8 2.3 0 6 1.0 1.0 0 6 1.0 1.0 1.0 0 10 1.0 1.0 1.0 0 6 1.2 1.0 1.0 1.0 alue ^b i 2.943* 11.71* 1.787* 2.925* 1.385 10.52* External symptom was evaluated by the following scale; 1=no foliar symptom; 2=yellowing of leaf; 3=all leaves and apical bud. Internal symptom (vascular discoloration) was evaluated according to the following to	1.3 2.2 0	6 1.0	1.5	0	6 4.0	4.0	83.3
IR2 6 3.0 4.0 0 6 1.0 1.7 16.7 6 1.2 2.5 I8213 6 3.0 4.0 0 6 1.0 1.7 16.7 6 1.3 2.5 I6101 6 2.8 2.3 0 6 1.0 1.0 0 6 1.0 1.	1.0 2.8 0	6 1.0	1.8	16.7	6 2.3	3.0	66.7
18213 6 3.0 4.0 0 6 1.0 1.7 16.7 6 1.3 2.5 16101 6 2.8 2.3 0 6 1.0 1.0 0 6 1.0	1.2 2.5 0	6 1.0	1.5	33.3	6 2.3	2.7	66.7
101 6 2.8 2.3 0 6 1.0	1.3 2.5 0	6 1.0	1.8	0	6 1.7	3.3	66.7
ontrol 6 1.2 1.0 0 6 1.2 1.0 alue ^{bh} 2.943* 11.71* 1.787* 2.925* 1.385 10.52* External symptom was evaluated by the following scale; 1=no foliar symptom; 2=yellowing of leaf; 3= all leaves and apical bud. Internal symptom (vascular discoloration) was evaluated according to the follow	1.0 1.0 0	6 1.0	1.0	0	6 2.3	1.2	0
alue ^{bh} 2.943* 11.71* 1.71* 1.787* 2.925* 1.385 10.52* External symptom was evaluated by the following scale; 1=no foliar symptom; 2=yellowing of leaf; 3= all leaves and apical bud. Internal symptom (vascular discoloration) was evaluated according to the follow	1.2 1.0 0	6 1.0	1.0	0	6 1.0	1.0	0
External symptom was evaluated by the following scale; 1=no foliar symptom; 2=yellowing of leaf; 3= all leaves and apical bud. Internal symptom (vascular discoloration) was evaluated according to the follow	1.385 10.52*	0.9	52 2.302		68.2*	22.08*	
level; 3=browning of vessels but not of the adjacent tissues; 4=browning of both vessels and adjacent ti symptoms (Internal index) were caluculated based on replicates.	owing of leaf; 3=wilt ding to the following s and adjacent tissues	or necrosis c cale; 1=no d Mean value	of leaf; 4= iscoloratio is of extern	wilt or nec n; 2=brow nal symptor	osis of all lea ning localized ns (External in	aves; 5=(below h) ndex) and	feath c pocoty interna

Isolate No. of colonies No. of colonies		No. of generated	No. of <i>nit</i>		Pheno	types of <i>i</i>	nit (%)	
Isolate	incubated on MMC	sectors (%) ^{a)}	sectors (%) ^{b)}	nit1	NitM	nit2	nit3	other
So1	10	55(550.0)	42(76.4)	78.6	21.4	0.0	0.0	0.0
So3	10	46(460.0)	22(47.8)	0.0	100.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
So22	32	21(65.6)	15(71.4)	46.7	40.0	6.7	0.0	6.7
So23	32	42(131.3)	11(26.2)	63.6	27.3	9.1	0.0	0.0
So27	32	3(9.4)	3(100.0)	33.3	33.3	0.0	0.0	33.3
So28	32	27(84.3)	9(33.3)	55.6	11.1	22.2	0.0	11.1
So38	32	31(96.9)	16(51.6)	25.0	37.5	6.3	0.0	31.3
So39	32	30(93.8)	7(23.3)	57.1	14.3	28.6	0.0	0.0
So40	32	24(75.0)	16(66.7)	62.5	25.0	6.3	0.0	6.3
So41	32	30(93.8)	20(66.7)	5.0	85.0	5.0	0.0	5.0
U54	32	15(46.9)	12(80.0)	50.0	50.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
U68	32	31(96.9)	14(45.2)	50.0	28.6	7.1	0.0	14.3
U69	32	63(196.9)	11(17.5)	54.5	9.1	0.0	0.0	36.4
U90	32	160(500.0)	68(42.5)	61.8	32.4	0.0	1.5	4.4
U93	32	42(131.3)	6(14.3)	66.7	33.3	0.0	0.0	0.0
U95	32	26(81.3)	21(80.8)	57.1	42.9	0.0	0.0	0.0
U108	32	170(531.3)	37(21.8)	78.4	21.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
U115	32	7(21.9)	4(57.1)	75.0	25.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
HR1	32	12(37.5)	7(58.3)	71.4	28.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
HR2	32	39(121.9)	34(87.2)	23.5	0.0	0.0	64.7	11.8
88213	32	20(62.5)	17(85.0)	82.4	17.6	0.0	0.0	0.0
86101	32	20(62.5)	10(50.0)	80.0	20.0	0.0	0.0	0.0

Table 3. Results of *nit* mutant generation and rates of *nit* mutant phenotypes of Japanese isolates of *Verticillium dahliae*.

a) Number of generated sectors on MMC (percentage=total generated sectors × 100/total incubated colonies on MMC).

b) Number of *nit* sectors determined (percentage=total determined *nit* sectors × 100/total generated sectors).

tion testing among isolates and with testers of VCGJ, isolates of pathotype E were assigned to subgroup J3, except for U108 and HR 1. Isolate U108 was assigned to subgroup J2. Isolate HR1 was not complementary with any testers of VCG.

Discussion

Isolates of V. dahliae pathotype E were not pathogenic to a set of differential host plants in inoculation tests, but 11 of 22 isolates were proven to be pathogenic to green soybean. Thus pathotype E was composed of two kinds of groups: isolates of 'soybean pathotype,' pathogenic to green soybeans and isolates non-pathogenic to green soybeans. The latter isolates were defined as pathotype E in the narrow sense. As some isolates of pathotype D, V. longisporum (Karapapa et al., 1997), were also reported to be pathogenic to green soybean (Horiuchi et al., 1990b), the morphology of conidia and microsclerotia was examined (Table 1). The range of conidial length was very large, but the mean lengh of the conidia were markedly different from those of V. longisporum. The size distribution of microsclerotia was also wide. The microsclerotial size (the ratio of mean length and width) of pathotype E was distinguishable from that of V. longi*sporum*. Our isolates of pathotype E, including isolates of 'soybean pathotype,' were confirmed to be *V. dahliae*.

Isolates of pathotype E in the narrow sense were categorizable into the known subgroup J3 (Table 5). These isolates did not exert or have lost their pathogenicity to a set of differential host plants (Table 2). On the other hand, these isolates seriously affected udo (A. cordata) or the weed C. album. This means that the differential host method did not necessarily reflect the essential differences such as genetical relatedness. Strausbaugh (1993) reported that subgroups within V. dahliae VCG4 exhibited different degrees of virulence in potato early dying. In our previous reports (Nagao et al., 1997; Wakatabe et al., 1997), we indicated that a close relationship existed between pathogenicity to tomato and subgroup J2. As isolates of 'soybean pathotype' have specific pathogenicity (Table 2), it was conjectured that these isolates might form a new subgroup of VCGJ. However, isolates of 'soybean pathotype' were compatible with subgroup J3 (Table 5). They were assignable to the known subgroup J3 instead of their pathotype, same as in the case of isolates of eggplant pathotype (A) and pepper pathotype (C) (Wakatabe et al., 1997). Weakly pathogenic or nonpathogenic isolates could be classified by the vegetative compatibility.

dahliae. ^{a)}
Verticillium
Ę
isolates o
Japanese
among
Σ
and NitM (
7
: nit1 (
þ
f pairings
ō
Results
4.
Table

		1))))	, ,				
		4		7	ю	7	-	10	20	7	7	14	18	17	7	10	18	ഉ	38 6	õ	~	. 1	1	44	- 24	9	65	4	-
		(1) (N	5	2	1)	ŝ	5	ŝ	Ē	ŝ	Ē	ŝ	E	۶ آ	(1)	ŝ	1) (1) N	1) (1	с) (М	() ()	1) (1	(M	(1)	Σ	(1)	(V	(1)	(W)
	23[<i>nit1</i>]	+	+	÷	+	‡		‡		‡		‡		z		z	+	+	+	+	+	+	Ŧ		Ŧ		‡		z
	19[NitM]	‡	+	-	Ŧ	_1.	Ŧ		‡		‡		‡	ı	‡	1	t	Ŧ	t	+		+	+	z		‡		z	
	4[<i>nit1</i>]			+	+	ŧ		‡		‡		‡		z	I	‡	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		z		‡		z
	8[NitM]		+	-	Ŧ	д.	Ŧ	ı	‡		‡		‡	'	‡	I	±	Ŧ	+	77	J	+		z		‡		z	
	17[<i>nit1</i>]					‡		‡		‡		‡	-	QN	1	‡	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		Z		+ +		z
	1 [NitM]				Ŧ	_L	Ŧ		‡		‡		z	ł	‡	I	±	+	+	Ŧ	_	+		z		Ŧ		z	
	3[<i>nit1</i>]							‡		‡		‡		QN	I	‡	+	+	+	+	+	+	Ŧ		1		‡		z
	2[NitM]						‡	,	‡		+		+	ſ	‡	.1	±	Ŧ	+	Ŧ	_1	+		z		‡		z	
	17[<i>nit1</i>]									‡		‡		z	1.	±	-+-	+	+	+	Ŧ	+	‡		z		‡		z
	10[NitM]								‡		‡		‡	I	‡	Т	±	+	+	I		+		z		Ŧ		z	
-	20[<i>nit1</i>]											‡		z	ſ	#	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		z		‡		z
	2[NitM]										‡		‡	I	‡	Т	±	+	+	2	7	Ι		z		‡		z	
	2[<i>nit</i> 1]													z	ſ	±	+	+	+	+	+	+	‡		Z		+		z
	14[NitM]												z	,	‡	Т	$^{+}$	+	+	I	,	+		z		‡		z	
	18[<i>nit 1</i>]															z	+	+	+	+	+	+	+		z		‡		z
	17[NitM]														z		z	-	z	2	7	Z	_	z		DN	_	z	
	7[<i>nit1</i>]																+	+	+	+	+	+	+		z		+		z
	10[NitM]																+	+	+	+	+	z		z		‡		z	
	18[<i>nit1</i>]																		+	+	+	+-	ŧ		z		+		z
	19[NitM]																	+	+	I		I		z		‡		z	
	38[<i>nit1</i>]																				+	+	÷		I		÷		z
-	60[NitM]																			+	+	+	1	z		‡		z	
	7[<i>nit1</i>]																						+		I		+		z
	3[NitM]																					+	_	z		‡		z	
-	10[<i>nit1</i>]																								z		+		z
	11[NitM]																							z		+		z	
-	44[<i>nit1</i>]																										z		z
	24[NitM]																									z		z	
	6[<i>nit1</i>]																												z
-	65[NitM]																											z	

Y. Ebihara et al.

VC sub	group	J	1	J	1	J	2	J	2	J	3	J	3
		СМ	208	84	023	S	Т1	LE	103	AC	406	SM	312
		11	1	25	8	18	28	30	13	14	18	27	30
		(1)	(M)	(I)	(M)	(1)	(M)	(1)	(M)	(1)	(M)	(1)	(M)
So1	23[<i>nitl</i>]		++		++		Ν		+		++		ND
	19[NitM]	++		++		Ν		Ν		++		++	
So22	4[<i>nitl</i>]		++		++		N		_		+		ND
	8[NitM]	++		++		Ν		Ν		-+-+-		ND	
So23	17[<i>nitl</i>]		++		++		N		N		N		++
	1[NitM]	+		+		Ν		Ν		N		+	
So27	3[<i>nit/</i>]		++		┿┾		_		+		N		++
0011	2[NitM]	++		++		N		N		Ν		++	
5028	17[<i>nit/</i>]		<u>++</u>		<u>++</u>		N				_		++
3020	10[NitM]	++	11	++		N	i N	ND		_		+	11
6-20	20[nit/]			• •	IL		М				1.1		
5039	20[/////] 2[Ni+M]	- - -	++	<u>+</u> +	Ŧr	N	IN	ND	ND	++	++	_	++
								ND		1 1	• •	1 1	
S040	2[<i>n/t/</i>]	1	++		++	м	N	м	N	N	N		+++
	14[[NILIVI]	Ŧ		Ŧ		IN		IN		iN		++	
So41	18[<i>nitl</i>]		++		++		N				—		++
	17[NitM]	N		N		N		N		N		N	
U54	7[<i>nitl</i>]		++		++		Ν		Ν		++		ND
	10[NitM]	╉╄┊		-+-+-		N		Ν		++		ND	
U68	18[<i>nitl</i>]		- - -		+		Ν		Ν		+		ND
	19[NitM]	++		++		N		N		++		ND	
U69	38[<i>nitl</i>]		++		++		Ν		_		++		- - -
	60[NitM]	++		++		Ν		Ν		ND		ND	
U90	7[<i>nitl</i>]		++		++-		Ν		_		Ν		++
	3[NitM]	++		+		Ν		Ν		Ν		++	
U95	10[<i>nitl</i>]		++		++		N		N		+		+
	11[NitM]	N		_		Ν		Ν		+		Ν	
U108	44[<i>nitl</i>]		_		_		N		++		N		N
	24[NitM]	++		+		_		++		N		N	
U115	6[nit/]		++		++				ND		+		++
0.10	65[NitM]	++		┾┾		N		ND		++	,	++	
LID 1	A[0:4/]		NI		М		N.		М		N		N
	4[///7/] 1[Ni+M]	м	IN	N	N.	м	IN	N	IN	м	IN	м	iN
	I [I VI CIVI]			1.4		14		14		IN I		. 1 4	

Table 5. Results of pairings with testers of the Japanese VC subgroups of *Verticillium dahliae*.^{a)}

a) ++: thick, wild-type growth and complementation line of more than 5 mm in width; +: slight complementation line of less than 5 mm; -: sparsely limited formation of complementary colonies; N: no reaction; ND: tester isolate reverted to wild-type growth.

In case of isolate U108, pathogenicity tests indicated that it was of pathotype E (Table 2), but this isolate was assigned to subgroup J2 because it showed strong complementary reaction with the testers of subgroups J1 and J2, but slight or limited reactions with those of subgroup J3 (Table 5). It would be interesting to know whether isolate U108 has lost its pathogenicity to tomato or its vegetative compatibility is independent of its pathogenicity. If the former is the case, VCG will not be a criterion for distinguishing the pathogenicity to tomato. If the latter is the case, the relationship of VCG and pathogenicity will be the same as in the case of physiological races of *F. oxysporum* (Glass and Kuldau, 1992). Furthermore, this assumption will contradict the relationship of pathotype of *V. dahliae* with VCG demonstrated in cotton (Daayf et al., 1995; Joaquim and Rowe, 1990; Puhalla, 1979) and also in tomato (Wakatabe et al., 1997).

We have used all known Japanese pathotypes determined by a set of differential host plants to examine the vegetative compatibility among them. Japanese isolates of *V. dahliae* constitute a VCG with three subgroups. Subgroup J2 is composed of tomato pathotype (Nagao et al., 1997, 1998; Wakatabe et al., 1997). Subgroup J3 includes all pathotypes other than tomato pathotype, plus one exceptional isolate, tomato-pepper pathotype (Nagao et al., 1998; Wakatabe et al., 1997). In this study, isolates of 'soybean pathotype' and pathotype E in the narrow sense with some exceptions were assigned to subgroup J3. This heterogeneity of subgroup J3 may be argued to reevaluate weakly compatible reaction among them (Table 4).

Isolate HR1 was self-compatible, but neither NitM nor *nit1* mutants showed much complementary reaction with representative *nit* testers of pathotype E or VCGJ. This isolate will be the fourth subgroup of VCGJ or a new VCG. It will be further investigated regarding its vegetative compatibility with other Japanese isolates and the reference strains of VCGs (Joaquim and Rowe, 1990).

We have been analyzing Japanese isolates of Verticillium spp. using random amplified polymorphic DNA (RAPD) in order to try to identify the pathogenicity groups of Japanese Verticillium isolates (Koike et al., 1995; Koike et al., 1996; Koike et al., 1997). Molecular analysis supported, in part, the results of differentiation based on vegetative compatibility groups. Tomato pathotype and non-tomato pathotype were distinguishable by RAPD, but differentiation among non-tomato pathotypes has not been achieved by this kind of analysis. Koike et al. (1996, 1997) examined two isolates of 'soybean pathotype,' 26ED and Vds-1 in pathotype A. These isolates were included in a subcluster of pathotypes A and C. We are now analyzing RAPD in isolates of 'soybean pathotype' of pathotype E.

Literature cited

- Correll, J. C., Klittich, C. J. R. and Leslie, J. F. 1987. Nitrate non-utilizing mutants of *Fusarium oxysporum* and their use in vegetative compatibility tests. Phytopathology 77: 1640–1646.
- Cove, D. J. 1976. Chlorate toxicity in Aspergillus nidulans: The selection and characterization of chlorate-resistant mutants. Heredity 36: 191–203.
- Daayf, F., Nicole, M. and Geiger, J.-P. 1995. Differentiation of Verticillium dahliae population on the basis of vegetative compatibility and pathogenicity on cotton. Eur. J. Plant Pathol. 101: 69–79.
- Glass, N. L. and Kuldau, G. A. 1992. Mating type and vegetative incompatibility in filamentous Ascomycetes. Ann. Rev. Phytopathol. **30**: 201–224.
- Hagiwara, H. 1990. Differentiation of the pathogenicity of Verticillium dahliae in Japan. Shokubutu-boeki 44: 299– 303. (In Japanese.)
- Hagiwara, H., Komada, H. and Kuniyasu, K. 1986. Verticillium

wilt of *Senecio vulgaris* naturally infected in the field. Proc. Kanto Pl. Prot. Soc. **33**: 142–143. (In Japanese.)

- Hagiwara, H., Suwa, S., Komada, H. and Kuniyasu, K. 1987. Verticillium wilt disease of Chenopodium album L., a common upland weed, caused by Verticillium dahliae Klebahn. Proc. Kanto Pl. Prot. Soc. 34: 95–97. (In Japanese.)
- Horiuchi, S., Hagiwara, H. and Takeuchi, S. 1990a. Host specificity of isolates of *Verticillium dahliae* towards cruciferous and solanaceous plants. In: Biological control of soil-borne plant pathogens, (ed. by Hornby, D.), pp. 285– 298. CAB International, Wallingford.
- Horiuchi, S., Takeuchi, S. and Tezuka, N. 1990b. Nagano isolates with different morphology and pathogenicity from pathotype D isolates of *Verticillium dahliae*. Ann. Phytopathol. Soc. Japan 56: 391.
- lijima, T. 1983. Studies on Verticillium wilt of tomato. Bull. Tokyo Metro. Agric. Exp. Stn. 16: 63–128. (In Japanese.)
- Joaquim, T. R. and Rowe, R. C. 1990. Reassessment of vegetative compatibility relationships among strains of *Verticillium dahliae* using nitrate-nonutilizing mutants. Phytopathology 80: 1160–1166.
- Joaquim, T. R. and Rowe, R. C. 1991. Vegetative compatibility and virulence of strains of *Verticillium dahliae* from soil and potato plants. Phytopathology 81: 552–558.
- Karapapa, V. K., Bainbridge, B. W. and Heale, J. B. 1997. Morphological and molecular characterization of *Verticillium longisporum* comb. nov., pathogenic to oilseed rape. Mycol. Res. **101**: 1281–1294.
- Kasuyama, S. and Inoue, K. 1989. Verticillium wilt of sweetpea. Ann. Phytopathol. Soc. Japan 55: 98.
- Koike, M., Fujita, M., Nagao, H. and Ohshima, S. 1996. Random amplified polymorphic DNA analysis of Japanese isolates of *Verticillium dahliae* and *V. albo-atrum*. Plant Dis. 80: 1224–1227.
- Koike, M., Itaya, T., Hoshino, K., Nagao, H. and Ohshima, S. 1997. PCR detection of Japanese isolates of *Verticillium dahliae* and *V. albo-atrum* using European subgroup-specific primers. Microbiol. Environ. **12**: 15–18.
- Koike, M., Watanabe, M., Nagao, H. and Ohshima, S. 1995. Molecular analysis of Japanese isolates of *Verticillium dahliae* and *V. albo-atrum*. Lett. Appl. Microbiol. 21: 75–78.
- Nagao, H., Arai, H., Ohshima, S., Koike, M. and Iijima, T. 1998. Vegetative compatibility of an isolate of *Verticillium dahliae* pathogenic to both tomato and pepper. Mycoscience **39**: 37–42.
- Nagao, H., Shiraishi, T., Ohshima, S., Koike, M. and Iijima, T. 1997. Assessment of vegetative compatibility of race-2 tomato wilt isolates of *Verticillium dahliae* in Japan. Mycoscience **38**: 375–381.
- Nagao, H., Wakatabe, D. and Iijima, T. 1994. Difficulty to establish vegetative compatibility of Japanese isolates of *Verticillium dahliae* Kleb. using melanin synthesis-deficient mutants. J. Gen. Appl. Microbiol. 44: 277–285.
- Puhalla, J. E. 1979. Classification of isolates of *Verticillium dahliae* based on heterokaryon incompatibility. Phyto-pathology 69: 1186-1189.
- Puhalla, J. E. 1985. Classification of strains of *Fusarium oxy-sporum* on the basis of vegetative compatibility. Can. J. Bot. 63: 179–183.
- Puhalla, J. E. and Hummel, M. 1983. Vegetative compatibility groups within *Verticillium dahliae*. Phytopathology 73: 1305–1308.
- Strausbaugh, C. A. 1993. Assessment of vegetative compatibility and virulence of *Verticillium dahliae* isolates from Idaho potatoes and tester strains. Phytopathology 83:

1253-1258.

- Suwa, S., Hagiwara, H., Koumoto, M. and Kuniyasu, K. 1987. Pathogenicity of soybean *Verticillium* wilt pathogen and its pathogenicity group. Ann. Phytopathol. Soc. Japan 53: 379.
- Takeda, K., Ishizaka, T. and Akanuma, R. 1988. Classification of the pathogen of *Verticillium* yellows of celery by pathogenicity. Proc. Kanto Pl. Prot. Soc. 35: 71–72. (In

Japanese.)

- Wakatabe, D., Nagao, H., Arai, H., Shiraishi, T., Koike, M. and lijima, T. 1997. Vegetative compatibility groups of Japanese isolates of *Verticillium dahliae*. Mycoscience 38: 17–23.
- Wakatabe, D., Nagao, H. and Shiraishi, T. 1990. Occurrence of *Verticillium* wilt of Touki by *Verticillium dahliae*. Ann. Phytopathol. Soc. Japan 57: 128.